

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Application No. 10/534,772</td> <td style="width: 50%; padding: 2px;">Applicant(s) TOMLINSON ET AL.</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="padding: 2px;">Examiner SHARON WEN</td> <td style="padding: 2px;">Art Unit 1644</td> </tr> </table>	Application No. 10/534,772	Applicant(s) TOMLINSON ET AL.	Examiner SHARON WEN	Art Unit 1644
Application No. 10/534,772	Applicant(s) TOMLINSON ET AL.				
Examiner SHARON WEN	Art Unit 1644				

All Participants:

(1) SHARON WEN.

(2) Jian Xiao.

Date of Interview: 4 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
pending

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative and Examiner agreed to amend the claims via Examiner's Amendment to clarify the claimed subject matter in order to place the present Application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sharon Wen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)